

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/688,031                            | 10/15/2003         | Mark A. Clarner      | 05918-339001 / VGCP<br>NO. 6 | 2175             |  |
| 26161                                 | 7590 08/11/2006    | EXAMINER             |                              |                  |  |
| FISH & RICHARDSON PC<br>P.O. BOX 1022 |                    |                      | RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C            |                  |  |
|                                       | LIS, MN 55440-1022 |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                       | •                  |                      | 3677                         |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>   |   | <del></del>  | <del></del>  |   |              |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application  | n No.  | lo. Applicant(s)  |              |  |  |  |
|   |   | 10/688,03  | 1  | CLARNER, MARK A.  |              |  |  |  |
| Office  | Action Summary  | Examiner   |  | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |
|   |   | Ruth C. Ro   | <u> </u>   | 3677  |              |  |  |  |
| The MAIL Period for Reply   | ING DATE of this communica  | tion appears on the  | cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ad  | dress        |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING C  - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b   | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION to any be available under the provisions of 3 ds from the mailing date of this communication is specified above is less than thirty (30) dy is specified above, the maximum statute in the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation.  ays, a reply within the statu ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tim<br>tory minimum of thirty (30) day:<br>I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cation to become ABANDONE | nety filed<br>s will be considered timel<br>the mailing date of this co<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |              |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsiv   | re to communication(s) filed  | on 20 October 2005   | <b>5</b> .   |   |              |  |  |  |
| •   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 3)☐ Since this  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Clair  | ms  |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the<br>5) ☐ Claim(s) _<br>6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u><br>7) ☐ Claim(s) _  | <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-5,8-16,21-27,30,31,35-38,40-42,46-50,52,56 and 57 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-5,8-16,21-27,30,31,35-38,40-42,46-50,52,56 and 57 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | <b>i</b>  |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawir<br>Applicant m<br>Replaceme   | cation is objected to by the Eng(s) filed on <u>15 October 200</u> 0 nay not request that any objection that drawing sheet(s) including the receivant declaration is objected to be   | $3$ is/are: a) $\square$ acces on to the drawing(s) be correction is require   | e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 C  | FR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U   | .S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
|   | rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC<br>sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT  |  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:   | ate   | O-152)       |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8-16, 23,46, 52, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Provost et al. (US 4,984,339).

A touch fastener component (10) has a sheet-form base (24') and an array of fastener elements (20). Each fastener element comprises a molded stem (22) and a head (28). The stem extends outwardly from and integrally with the sheet-form base (Fig. 6). The head extends forward from a distal end of the stem to a tip (Fig. 6). The head has a lower surface forming a crook that retaining loops (Figs. 6 and 17-21). The head has an overall height, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the head, that is greater than 55 percent of an overall height of the fastener element, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base (Fig. 6). A ratio of an overall height of the crook, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the crook, to an entrance height measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base below a lowermost extent of the tip, is greater than 0.6 (Fig. 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/688,031

Art Unit: 3677

The overall head height is less than 60 percent of the overall height of the fastener element (Fig. 6).

The tip extends toward the base (Fig. 6).

The lower surface of the head is arched (Fig. 6).

The head and the stem form a unitary molded structure (Fig. 6).

The head has a surface of resin (Fig. 6).

The stem has opposing surfaces (Fig. 6).

The stem and the head have side surfaces lying in parallel planes (Figs. 6-8).

The crook overhangs a surface of the stem (Fig. 6).

The overhung stem surface extends at an inclination angle of between about 20 to 30 degrees with respect to a normal to the base (Fig. 6).

The fastener elements together cover at least 20 percent of an overall area of the base from which the fastener elements extend (Fig. 23).

Regarding claim 46, the rejection of claim 1 meet the limitations of claim 46.

The crook defines an under crook angle of at least 180 degrees (Fig. 6).

3. Claims 1 and 8-16, 23,46, 52, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thomas et al. (US 5,116,563).

A touch fastener component (20) has a sheet-form base (24) and an array of fastener elements (22). Each fastener element comprises a molded stem (28) and a head (30). The stem extends outwardly from and integrally with the sheet-form base (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B). The head extends forward from a distall end of the stem to a tip (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B). The head has a lower surface forming a crook that

Application/Control Number: 10/688,031

Art Unit: 3677

retaining loops (C. 1, L.12-26). The head has an overall height, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the head, that is greater than 55 percent of an overall height of the fastener element, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B). A ratio of an overall height of the crook, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the crook, to an entrance height measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base below a lowermost extent of the tip, is greater than 0.6 (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The overall head height is less than 60 percent of the overall height of the fastener element (Figs. 7A and 9A).

The tip extends toward the base (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The lower surface of the head is arched (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The head and the stem form a unitary molded structure (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The head has a surface of resin (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The stem has opposing surfaces (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The stem and the head have side surfaces lying in parallel planes (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The crook overhangs a surface of the stem (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The overhung stem surface extends at an inclination angle of between about 20 to 30 degrees with respect to a normal to the base (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

The fastener elements together cover at least 20 percent of an overall area of the base from which the fastener elements extend (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

Regarding claim 46, the rejection of claim 1 meet the limitations of claim 46.

The crook defines an under crook angle of at least 180 degrees (Figs. 1, 7A, 7B, 9A and 9B).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 21-27, 30, 31, 34, 46-50, 52, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallant et al. (US 2003/0012921 A1) in view of Thomas.

Gallant discloses a touch fastener component has a sheet-form base (under 260) and an array of fastener elements (260). Each fastener element comprises a molded stem (262) and a head (264). The stem extends outwardly from and integrally with the sheet-form base (Paragraphs 0076-0080). The head extends forward from a distal end of the stem to a tip (Figs. 11-12B). The head has a lower surface forming a crook that retaining loops (Figs. 11-12B). Gallant fails to disclose that the head has an overall height, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the

Art Unit: 3677

tip to an uppermost extent of the head, that is greater than 55 percent of an overall height of the fastener element, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base and that a ratio of an overall height of the crook, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the crook, to an entrance height measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base below a lowermost extent of the tip, is greater than 0.6. However, it Thomas teaches a touch fastener having all the features mentioned above in paragraph 3 for the rejection of claims 1 and 8-16, 23,46, 52, 56 and 57. Thomas teaches that the overall height of the head and the ratio of crook with respect of the entrance provide the advantage of penetrating deeper into the loops and thereby allowing the heads to intercept or engage a greater number of strands or fibers (C. 9, L. 62-68 and C. 10, L. 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the head has an overall height, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the head, that is greater than 55 percent of an overall height of the fastener element, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base and that a ratio of an overall height of the crook, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base from a lowermost extent of the tip to an uppermost extent of the crook, to an entrance height measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base below a lowermost extent of the tip, is greater than 0.6 as taught by Thomas in the touch fastener disclosed by Gallant. Doing so, improves the engagement between the hook component and a loop component because it provides the advantage of

Art Unit: 3677

penetrating deeper into the loops and thereby allowing the heads to intercept or engage a greater number of strands or fibers.

Gallant also discloses that:

• Each fastener element has multiple heads extending in different directions and forming separate crooks (Figs. 11-12B).

- Each fastener element has two heads (264) extending in essentially opposite directions (Figs. 11-12B).
- Each fastener element defines an upper well between two oppositely-directed heads (Figs. 11-12B). The well extends down to a height measure perpendicular to the base, of at least 70 percent of the overall height of one of the two oppositely-directed heads (Figs. 11-12B).
- Each fastener element has an overall length between opposite extents of the oppositely-directed heads, measured parallel to the base, of at least 1.8 times the overall height of the fastener element (Figs. 11-12B).
- The modified overall head height in accordance with the teachings of Thomas can less than 60 percent of the overall height of the fastener element.
  - The tip extends toward the base (Figs. 11-12B).
  - The lower surface of the head is arched (Figs. 11-12B).
  - The head and stem form a unitary molded structure (Figs. 11-12B).
- The head has a surface of resin cooled against a mold surface (Figs. 1 and 6a-7b.
  - The stem has opposing surfaces (Figs. 1 and 6a-7b).

Art Unit: 3677

• The stem and head have side surfaces lying in parallel planes (Figs. 1 and 6a-7b).

- The crook overhangs a surface of the stem (Figs. 1 and 6a-7b).
- The overhung stem surface extends at an inclination angle of between about 20 and 30 degrees with respect to a normal to the base (Figs. 1 and 6a-7b).

Gallant fails to disclose that the touch fastener component further comprises a backing material laminated to a side of the base opposite the fastener elements. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the touch fastener component further comprises a backing material laminated to a side of the base opposite the fastener elements since the Examiner takes Official notice that having a fastener with two crook being provided with an upper well is well known in the touch fastener art.

Gallant fails to disclose that the fastener elements are arranged in a density of at least 350 fastener elements per square inch of the base. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have the fastener elements are arranged in a density of at least 350 fastener elements per square inch of the base since the Examiner takes Official notice that having a fastener with two crook being provided with an upper well is well known in the touch fastener art.

Gallant fails to disclose that the fastener elements together cover at least 20 percent of an overall surface area of the base from which the fastener elements extend. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the fastener elements together cover at least 20

Art Unit: 3677

percent of an overall surface area of the base from which the fastener elements extend since the Examiner takes Official notice that having a fastener with two crook being provided with an upper well is well known in the touch fastener art.

Regarding claim 24, a combination of claims 1 and 3 will yield the limitations recited in claim 24.

Both of the modified heads in accordance with the teaching of Thomas will have overall heights that are greater than half of the overall height of the fastener.

Regarding claim 46, the rejection of claim 1 meet the limitations of claim 46.

6. Claims 35-38 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallant in view of Thomas and Martin et al. (US 2002/0116799 A1).

The combination of Gallant and Thomas as used above in paragraph 5 meets the limitations of claims 1-5, 8-16, 21-27, 30, 31, 34, 46-50, 52, 56 and 57. Gallant and Thomas fail to disclose that the fastener element has a bulk aspect ratio of more than 0.020 inch (0.51mm). However, Martin teaches a touch fastener comprising a sheetform base (26) and an array of fastener elements (24). Each fastener element comprises a molded stem and a head (Figs. 1-10a). The stem extends outwardly from and integrally with the sheet-form base (Figs. 1-10a). The head extends forward in an engagement direction from a distal end of the stem to a tip (Figs. 1-10a). The head has a lower surface forming a crook for retaining loops (Figs. 1-10a). The fastener element has a aspect ratio defined as the head area divided by the overall area of the touch fastener. This ratio is defined to determine how skin friendly is a touch fastener. A touch fastener is skin friendly when the head area is greater and this would result in a

Art Unit: 3677

greater aspect ratio (Page 4, Paragraph 0081). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a fastener element with a bulk aspect, defined as a ratio of the product of an overall length of the fastener element, measured parallel to the sheet-form base in the engagement direction above an elevation of the tip, and fastener element thickness. measured parallel to the sheet-form base and the engagement direction at the elevation of the tip, to an overall height of the fastener element, measured perpendicular to the sheet-form base, of more than 0.020 inch (0.51 mm) since having a touch fastener with a greater head area results in a touch fastener that is skin friendly as taught by Martin. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention have the necessary dimensions needed to obtain a bulk aspect ratio as disclosed by Martin where the resulting ration will be 0.020 inch for the fastener disclose by Gallant and modified by Thomas since a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Since Thomas teaches that increasing the height of the head improves the engagement between the hook component and a loop component because it provides the advantage of penetrating deeper into the loops and thereby allowing the heads to intercept or engage a greater number of strands or fibers (C. 9, L. 62-68 and C. 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 3677

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8-16, 21-27. 30, 31, 35-42, 46-50, 52, 56 and 57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. The Examiner has modified the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-16, 21-27. 30, 31, 35-42, 46-50, 52, 56 and 57 in order to address Applicant's arguments of hindsight and to prove that increasing the height of head provides better engagement for the touch fastener component as taught by Thomas.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Billarant (US 3,417,440), Thomas (US 5,586,371), Miller et al. (US 6,054,091), Kennedy et al. (US 6,248,419 B1) and Chesley et al. (US 6,579,161) are cited to show state of the art with respect to touch fasteners having some of the features being claimed by the current application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

**Art Unit: 3677** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6640.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

rcr

August 7, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINEP